

## UNITED STALES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark ffice

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.
09/101, 341	7/8/1998			·
ŕ	,		E. White	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1623	34
	INTER	VIEW SUMMARY	DATE MAILED:	
All participants (applicant, applicant	's representative, PTO personn	el):		
(1) Roper 1 pp R.		(3) Everett	White 1	PTO
(2) James allison	[870]	(4)	10/11/2	,,,,,
Date of Interview April 4	2003			
Type: Telephonic Personal	(copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative)		
Exhibit shown or demonstration con	ducted: Yes 🛮 No If yes	, brief description:		
Agreement 📈 was reached. 🗆 w	as not reached.			
Claim(s) discussed: / ~/6	and 19-21			
Identification of prior art discussed:	The prior ari	t of record.		
,	///			
Description of the general nature of	what was agreed to if an agree	ment was reached or any other	comments: He	art of second
was discussed It	was assed the	at the claims of	irected to	a method of
producina molmacha	ude liber would	be allowed the	n /-/2 m	1 19-21) 16
Evaniner will cons	ider the argumen	to mesented lo	r the pro	duct-bu- proc
claims, (Se 1	cosons for allowe	ince on the next me	19C	The state of the s
( A fuller description, if necessary, armust be attached. Also, where no cattached.)	nd a copy of the amendments, i	f available, which the examiner	✓ agreed would rende	r the claims allowable nmary thereof must be
1. 🗷 It is not necessary for applica	nt to provide a separate record	of the substance of the interviev	: <b>v.</b>	
Unless the paragraph above has be IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLU action has are ready been filed, APF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	JDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THI PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT	E INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sec	ction 713.04). If a res	sponse to the last Office
<ol> <li>Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements the is considered to fulfill the respondered to fulfill the respondered to fulfill the respondered.</li> </ol>	nat may be present in the last C onse requirements of the last C	y attachments) reflects a completifice action, and since the claim office action. Applicant is not reli	s are now allowable	. this completed form
Examin r Note: You must sign this for PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)	orm unless it is an attachment t	o another form	AII ARI F	COPY
FORM <b>PTOL-413</b> (REV.1-96)		RF21 WA	HILADEL	

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must be Meda of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

## §1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based oxclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or drawly.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates ha or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the Interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
  of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
  contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner;
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the Interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Intonvious Summany	09/101,341	MALMGREN ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	EVERETT WHITE	1623			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>EVERETT WHITE</u> .	(3) ROGER LEE.				
(2) JAMES O. WILSON.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>04 April 2003</u> .					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-16 and 19-21</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>The prior art of record</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Notes for the Examiner during the interview was that the Burrow patent did not spelinking set forth in the Burrow appears to be covalent cross	<ul> <li>The reason for indication of cify ionic cross-linkage of the</li> </ul>	allowable subject matter			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	BEST AVAILABL	E COPY			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required			